

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

•	
/\	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,429	04/25/2001	Anita B. Marsh	06269-030001	06269-030001 8544	
26211	7590 11/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				VU, TUAN A	
P.O. BOX 10 MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193		
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/15/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/843,429	MARSH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tuan A. Vu	2193		

	Tuan A. Vu	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires 3 months. 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ly must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be appeared to the Notice of Appeal has been filed.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		time also filed a mean dur	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	mowable il sublimited in a separate	, umely liled amendir	ient canceling
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a). how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		vill-be-entered-and-an-	explanation of -
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	, , , , ,	101	hce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	100	BERG
			XAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As for the arguments that nothing in Aravamudan ever mentions on a network carrier turning on a service for a particular area, it is noted that every call processing pertains to a user situated in a context of a given area. The notion of area is inside the very fact that a user is trying to be connected and served by the telephone service. A particular user in a geographical context within which the user is trying to get serviced reads on service being turned on for a user of a particular area; because an user cannot not belong to a particular service area when the telephony service as organized by standards PSTN is dividing service coverage into regions of service. Hence, each user entails a connotation of a particular PSTN network subdivision being serviced. Until the claim makes it more clear about the specifics on the turn-on process so to teach exactly how this particular area specialization is implemented, the claim is interpreted as broadly as set forth above; and stands rejected for allowing more than one way of construing the subject matter; hence is not in condition for allowance. The amendments to the specifications will be entered for minor informalities therein..

TODD INGBERG
PRIMARY EXAMINER